arXiv:astro-ph/0202113v2 7 Feb 2002

Radial equation for an accretion flow driven by Poynting flux

Hyun Kyu Lee*

Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

and

Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang 790-784, Korea

Abstract

Using a toy model of a two dimensional accretion disk, we discuss further the radial equation of the accretion flow dominated by the Poynting flux. Assuming the force-free condition is valid around the accretion disk, the relation between the fluid angular velocity of the disk, Ω_D, and the angular velocity of the magnetic field lines, Ω_F, is also discussed.

PACS numbers: 97.10.Gz, 97.60.Lf

Typeset using REVTEX

---

*e-mail : hklee@hepth.hanyang.ac.kr

I. INTRODUCTION

The model of Poynting flux has been suggested for describing the astrophysical jets [1] [2] [3] and discussed also for gamma ray bursts [4] [5] [6] [7] and the evolution of a black hole-accretion disk system [8] [9].

Recently the effect of Poynting flux on accretion flows has been formulated relativistically in the background of Kerr geometry for the rotating balck hole at the center [10]. A simplified model of a two-dimensional accretion disk located on the equatorial plane is assumed as in the non-relativistic formulation [1]. To see the effects of the Poynting flux transparently it is also assumed to be non-viscous and non-radiative, although the realistic accretion disk is much more complicated [11].

In this brief note, we reformulate the two dimensional accretion model suggested in ref. [10], where the time- and toroidal part of the energy-momentum conservation has been discussed to calculate the accretion rate and the Poynting flux. The radial part of the energy-momentum conservation is discussed in detail to see how the angular velocity of magnetic field, Ω_F, is related to that of the accretion flow, Ω_D.

The structure of the electromagnetic field with an accretion disk which lies on $\theta = \pi/2$ plane can be reproduced by assigning the surface charge and current on the plane in addition to the bulk charge and current distributions outside the disk.

We can define a conserved current as [12]

$$\mathcal{J}^\mu = J_+^\mu \Theta(\pi/2 - \theta) + J_-^\mu \Theta(\theta - \pi/2) + j^\mu, \tag{1}$$

where $J_\pm^\mu$ is the bulk current densities(subscripts + and $-$ stand for the upper and lower half space respectively) which appear in the Maxwell equation,

$$F_{\pm\ ;\nu}^{\mu\nu} = 4\pi J_\pm^\mu, \tag{2}$$

which terminates on the disk. and j^μ is the surface current density defined on the two dimensional accretion disk. Using the current conservation, $\mathcal{J}^\mu_{\ ;\mu} = 0$ we can identify the surface current on the disk as [1]

$$j^{\mu} = \frac{1}{4\pi}[F^{\theta\mu}]\delta(\theta - \pi/2). \tag{3}$$

Following the standard procedure the surface charge density, σ_e, and surface current density, $\vec{K}$, on the disk can be obtained by

$$\sigma_e = -\frac{[E^{\hat{\theta}}]}{4\pi}, \tag{4}$$

$$\vec{K} = \frac{1}{4\pi}[\vec{B}] \times \hat{\theta}, \tag{5}$$

which are the Gauss' law and the Ampere's law on the surface of the accretion disk. The discontinuity of the fields at the disk plane due to the surface charge and current leads to the Dirac-delta singularity given by

$$\partial_\theta E^{\hat{\theta}} = 4\pi\sigma_e \; \delta(\theta - \pi/2), \tag{6}$$

$$\partial_\theta \vec{B} \; = 4\pi\vec{K} \times \hat{\theta} \; \delta(\theta - \pi/2). \tag{7}$$

on the accretion disk.

II. CONSERVATION OF ENERGY - MOMENTUM TENSOR

The conservation of the energy momentum tensor given by

$$T^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\mu} = 0 \tag{8}$$

has four equations, one for each ν . For the steady and axial symmetric case, there are two Killing vectors and therefore correspondingly the energy and the angular momentum(azimuthal component) of the system are conserved. The relevant combinations of equations of $\nu = 0,\ \phi$ are responsible for the energy and the angular momentum conservation. The radial component of the equations, $\nu = r$, determines the orbital motion of the fluid.

---

[1] $[A] \equiv A_+ - A_-$. The electric and magnetic field are defined for ZAMO(Zero Angular Momentum Observer) [13].

As in ref. [10] we simplify the accretion disk to be non-viscous, cool and non-radiative with $p = T = 0$ for the purpose of investigating the effect of the magnetic field transparently. Then the stress-energy tensor of the matter is given simply by

$$T_m^{\mu\nu} = \rho_m u^\mu u^\nu. \tag{9}$$

It is also assumed that there is no mass flow in the direction perpendicular to the disk: $u^\theta = 0$.

A. Energy and angular momentum conservation

The Killing vectors, ξ^μ in $t - direction$ and η in $\phi - direction$

$$\xi^\mu = (1,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0), \quad \eta^\mu = (0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1) \tag{10}$$

define the energy flux $\mathcal{E}^\mu$ and angular momentum flux $\mathcal{L}^\mu_{(\phi)}$ respectively

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu = -T^{\mu\nu}\xi_\nu, \quad \mathcal{L}^\mu_{(\phi)} = -T^{\mu\nu}\eta_\nu, \tag{11}$$

which satisfy

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu{}_{;\mu} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}^\mu_{(\phi);\mu} = 0. \tag{12}$$

It can be decomposed into two parts: contributions from matter(m)and electromagnetic (EM) parts:

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu = \mathcal{E}^\mu_m + \mathcal{E}^\mu_{EM},\ \mathcal{L}^\mu_{(\phi)} = \mathcal{L}^\mu_{m(\phi)} + \mathcal{L}^\mu_{EM(\phi)} \tag{13}$$

Since we are interested in a steady and axisymmetric case, the derivatives with respect to t and ϕ vanishes and hence $r-$ and $\theta-$ components of the flux are only considered:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}{}_{;\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\{\partial_r(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{E}^r) + \partial_\theta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{E}^\theta)\}, \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mu}_{(\phi);\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\{\partial_r(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}^r_{(\phi)}) + \partial_\theta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}^\theta_{(\phi)})\}. \tag{15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}^r_m = -\rho_m u_0 u^r, \quad \mathcal{E}^\theta_m = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$\mathcal{E}^r_{EM} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{\rho}(\alpha E^{\hat{\theta}} + \beta\varpi B^{\hat{r}})B^{\hat{\phi}}, \ \ \mathcal{E}^\theta_{EM} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\rho}(-\alpha E^{\hat{r}} + \beta\varpi B^{\hat{\theta}})B^{\hat{\phi}}, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^r_{m(\phi)} = \rho_m u_\phi u^r, \ \ \mathcal{L}^\theta_{m(\phi)} = 0 \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^r_{EM(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\varpi\sqrt{\Delta}}{\rho}B^{\hat{\phi}}B^{\hat{r}}, \ \ \mathcal{L}^\theta_{EM(\phi)} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\varpi}{\rho}B^{\hat{\phi}}B^{\theta}. \tag{19}$$

Since we assume an idealized thin disk, we do not expect any substantial radial-flow of electromagnetic energy and angular momentum compared to the flow of the accreting matter which has a delta-function type singularity, for example,

$$\mathcal{E}^r_m = -\rho_m u_0 u^r = -\frac{\sigma_m}{r}u_0 u^r \delta(\theta - \pi/2) \tag{20}$$

However the radial flow $\partial_r(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{E}^r_{EM})$ has no delta-type singularity such that a radial flow can be ignored in this thin disk approximation.

On the other hand the flux in the θ direction has a singularity on the disk due to the discontinuity of the electromagnetic fields. Keeping singular parts only , we obtain

$$\partial_r(u_0)\dot{M}_+ + 2\pi r K^r(-\alpha E^{\hat{r}} + \beta\varpi B^{\hat{\theta}}) = 0, \tag{21}$$

$$\partial_r(u_\phi)\dot{M}_+ + 2\pi r K^r \varpi B^{\hat{\theta}} = 0, \tag{22}$$

for energy and angular momentum conservation respectively. The mass accretion rate derived from the rest-mass conservation is given by [11]

$$\dot{M}_+ = -2\pi r \sigma_m u^r \tag{23}$$

Eq.(21) and (22) have been derived from the condition that there should be no accumulation of energy and angular momentum in the stationary accretion flow driven by Poynting flux as discussed in ref. [10].

B. Radial equation

The radial component of the energy-momentum conservation

$$T^{\mu r}{}_{;\mu} = 0 \tag{24}$$

is useful to investigate the angular velocity of the fluid. The matter part can be written as

$$T^{\mu r}_{m\ \ ;\mu} = -\rho_m g^{rr} u^0 \partial_r (u_\phi)(\frac{u^\phi}{u^0} + \frac{\partial_r u_0}{\partial_r u_\phi}) \tag{25}$$

And the electromagnetic part of the radial equation is given by

$$T^{\mu r}_{EM\ ;\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} T^{\mu r}_{EM}) + \Gamma^r_{\mu\lambda} T^{\mu\lambda}_{EM}. \tag{26}$$

Since only singular parts are relevant for the thin accretion disk, we get

$$T^{\mu r}_{EM\ ;\mu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\theta (\sqrt{-g} T^{\theta r}_{EM}) \tag{27}$$

$$\rightarrow -\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} (\sigma_e E^{\hat{r}} - K^{\hat{\phi}} B^{\hat{\theta}}) \delta(\theta - \pi/2), \tag{28}$$

where → indicates the procedure of keeping singular parts only.

After a short straight forward calculations, the radial equation, eq.(24), can be written by

$$-\frac{\sigma_m}{r} g^{rr} u^0 \partial_r (u_\phi)(\Omega_D + \frac{\partial_r u_0}{\partial_r u_\phi}) - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} (\sigma_e E^{\hat{r}} - K^{\hat{\phi}} B^{\hat{\theta}}) = 0 \tag{29}$$

where $\Omega_D \equiv u^\phi / u^0$ is the angular velocity of the fluid in an accretion disk. The last term corresponds to the Lorentz force exerted by the surface charge and current.

In the absence of the external magnetic field, the radial equation can be satisfied with

$$\Omega_D = -\frac{\partial_r u_0}{\partial_r u_\phi}, \tag{30}$$

which is one of the characteristics of Keplerian orbit around the black hole. We can see that the orbits of the particle in the disk under external electromagnetic field deviate from the Keplerian orbit as expected. Hence, the trial ansatz, $\Omega_D = \Omega_K$, used in the literature as a first approximation for the order-of-magnitude calculations, might not be valid for a disk dominated mainly by the Poynting flux.

III. FORCE-FREE MAGNETOSPHERE

In this section we assume that there is a sufficient ambient plasma around the disk to maintain the force-free magnetosphere [1] [14]. The force-free condition for the magnetosphere with the current density J^μ is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu}J^\mu = 0. \tag{31}$$

With force-free condition, magnetic field lines are spiraling rigidly to form magnetic surfaces [13] with the angular velocity Ω_F and we get

$$\vec{E} = -v_F^{\hat{\phi}} \times \vec{B}^P, \quad v_F^{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{\varpi}{\alpha}(\Omega_F + \beta)\hat{\phi} \tag{32}$$

where $v_F^{\hat{\phi}}$ is the toroidal velocity observed by ZAMO. Then the toroidal surface current density is given by[2]

$$K^{\hat{\phi}} = \sigma_e / v_F \tag{33}$$

which seems to look strange when compared to the naive expectation

$$K^{\hat{\phi}} \sim \sigma_e v_F. \tag{34}$$

It is simply because the surface charge defined by the boundary condition is not the charge relevant for the surface current and v_F is not the velocity of the fluid in the disk.

Now the Poynting flux is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^\theta_{EM} = \Omega_F \mathcal{L}^\theta_{(\phi)}, \tag{35}$$

and eq.(21) becomes

$$\partial_r(u_0)\dot{M}_+ - 2\pi r K^r \varpi \Omega_F B^{\hat{\theta}} = 0. \tag{36}$$

---

[2] It is consistent with the non-relativistic treatment, Eq.(3.34) multiplied by α and Eq.(3.36) in [1].

When compared with eq.(22), the angular velocity of the magnetic surface in a force-free magnetosphere is found to be related to the fluid velocity in the following way

$$\Omega_F = -\frac{du_0/dr}{du_\phi/dr}. \tag{37}$$

It is inetersting to note that it has no explicit dependence on the electromagnetic field.

One of the interesting cases is u_0 and u_ϕ are those of the Keplerian orbit [10] where

$$-\frac{du_0/dr}{du_\phi/dr} = \Omega_K \tag{38}$$

and therefore

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_K \tag{39}$$

However it is subject to the radial equation, Eq.(29), in which the naive suggestion, Eq.(39), is not guaranteed in a simple way as discussed in the previous section. In fact the radial equation provides more information rather on the relation between Ω_D and Ω_F.

Eq.(29) can be written under the force-free condition as

$$-\frac{\sigma_m}{r}g^{rr}u^0\partial_r u_\phi(\Omega_D - \Omega_F) - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2}(\sigma_e v_F^{\hat{\phi}} - K^{\hat{\phi}})B^{\hat{\theta}} = 0. \tag{40}$$

The second part represent the Lorentz force in the radial direction and it should be noted that the force-free condition does not apply on particle motions in the disk. Hence one can see that the angular velocity of the field lines is not the same as that of the disk. It is quite contrary to the conventional assumption, $\Omega_F = \Omega_D$, for the highly conducting accretion disk. It is rather similar to the case with black hole in a force-free magnetosphere, where the angular velocity of the black hole, Ω_H, is different from Ω_F [13]. This equation shows how Ω_F in the force-free magnetosphere can be determined by Ω_D.

Naively one can consider the case where the toroidal current $K^{\hat{\phi}}$ is entirely due to the surface charge density, σ_e, rotating with the angular velocity of the fluid, Ω_D . Then the toroidal current is given by

$$K^{\hat{\phi}} = \sigma v_D^{\hat{\phi}}, v_D^{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{\varpi}{\alpha}(\Omega_D + \beta) \tag{41}$$

where $v_D^{\hat{\phi}}$ is the local velocity of the matter observed by ZAMO. Then Eq. (40) becomes

$$\{-\frac{\sigma_m}{r}g^{rr}u^0\partial_r u_\phi + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2}\sigma\frac{\varpi}{\alpha}B^{\hat{\theta}}\}(\Omega_D - \Omega_F) = 0 \tag{42}$$

which seem to show that the angular velocity of the magnetic field line is the same as the fluid angular velocity,

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_D. \tag{43}$$

However it does not hold every where on the disk but only for the particular section of the accretion disk where

$$v_D^{\hat{\phi}}(r) = v_F^{\hat{\phi}}(r) = c. \tag{44}$$

which might not be useful in the realistic situation. Of course it is due to an assumption that the surface current density is entirely due to the rotating surface density defined by the boundary condition.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, a model of the two-dimensional accretion flow driven by Poynting flux discussed in [10] is reformulated to discuss the radial equation of the acrreting matter. Due to the Lorentz force, the angular velocity of the fluid motion, Ω_D deviates from the Keplerian angular velocity Ω_K. With force-free magnetosphere, we get a relation between Ω_F and fluid motion: $\Omega_F = -\frac{du_0/dr}{du_\phi/dr}$. However it is found that there is a difference between Ω_F and Ω_D as for the case of rotating black hole in a force-free magnetosphere. In practical applications with accretion disk, the discussions can be very much simplified by assuming $\Omega_D \sim \Omega_K$ and/or $\Omega_F \sim \Omega_D$. Hence it would be very interesting to estimate the deviations from those ideal equalities and it remains as future numerical works to do.

It is also worth noting that the recent numerical work [14] demonstrates the possibility of a Poynting flux dominated accretion flow. Although a non-relativistic formulation is used, it suggests a possibility that at least a part of the accretion flow can be driven dominantly by the Poynting flux.

The author would like to thank Hongsu Kim for useful discussions. This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2000-015-DP0081).

REFERENCES

[1] R.D. Blandford, MNRAS **176**, 465(1976)

[2] R.V.E. Lovelace, Nature **262**, 649(1976)

[3] R.D. Blandford and Z.L. Znajek, MNRAS **179**, 433(1977)

[4] H.K. Lee, R.A.M.J. Wijers and G.E. Brown, Phys. Rep. **325**, 83(2000)

[5] H.K. Lee, G.E. Brown and R.A.M.J. Wijers, ApJ **536**, 416(2000)

[6] L.-X. Li, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 084016(2000)

[7] M.H.P.M. van Putten, Phys. Rep. **345**, 1(2001)

[8] H.K. Lee and H.K. Kim, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **36**, 188(2000)

[9] L.-X. Li, B. Paczynski, ApJ **534**, L197(2000)

[10] H.K. Lee, Phy. Rev. D64, 043006(2001)

[11] see, for example, M.A. Abramowicz, X.-M. Chen, M. Granath and J.-P. Lasota, ApJ **471**, 762(1996)

[12] T. Damour, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 3598(1978)

[13] K.S. Thorne, R.H. Price, and D.A. Macdonald, "Black Holes; The Membrane Paradigm" (Yale University Press, New Haven and London, 1986)

[14] G.V. Ustyugova, R.V.E. Lovelace, M.M. Romanova, H. Li and S.A. Colgate, ApJ **541**, L21(2000)